

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 4713 10/743,346 12/23/2003 John P. Jonas 08215-541001 / P03-026852 **EXAMINER** 26171 7590 04/27/2006 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. BENENSON, BORIS P.O. BOX 1022 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 2836

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/743,346	JONAS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Boris Benenson	2836	

Advisory Action	10/743,346	JONAS ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Boris Benenson	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	·	correspondence add	ross		
		•	7633		
	THE REPLY FILED <u>17 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of				
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of	•	<i>c</i>			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
NOTICE OF AFFEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	a filed within two mon	the of the date		
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.		
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	j the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling .		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:	•				
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).		
10.	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	<u>/.</u>		
		BRIAN SIRCUS	}.		
•	SUF	PERVISORY PATENT	-		

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 5 wrongly indicated as "original" contains a new issue- extention of a backup power time, which have not been claimed in rejected set of Claims and will require an additional search...